

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,379	10/26/2001	George Marmaropoulos	US010544	4689	
24737	7590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			LA, ANH V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2636	111	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/043,37	9	MARMAROPOULOS ET AL.			
		Examiner			Art Unit		
	•	Anh V La		2636			
	The MAILING DATE of this communi		cover sheet with the c		dress -		
Period fo							
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commer period for reply specified above is less than thirty (34) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. )) days, a reply within the statu. tutory period will apply and wil will. by statute. cause the apol	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>24 May 2004</u> .					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Control of the Control o	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental depth bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)		

Art Unit: 2636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In claim 7, line 8, the phrase "a wearable garment" should be changed to -the wearable garment--.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giannini in view of Sato.

Regarding claim 1, Giannini discloses a portable signal activator comprising a wearable garment 10 having a body structure, a pressure-sensitive electrical activator switch 16-26 (abstract, column 3, lines 17-48) mounted to the body structure of the garment and capable of activating a removable signaling device (headphone, 34, 36, 38, 40, col. 4, lines 36-43) and a connection means extending from the electrical activator switch to serve as a coupling to the removable signaling device (see figures 1-3). Giannini does not disclose the connection means extending from the switch through a material fabric of the garment to the signaling device. Sato teaches the use of connection means extending from a switch through a material fabric of a wearable garment to a signaling device (column 2, lines 35-66). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the

Art Unit: 2636

connection means extending from the switch through a material fabric of the garment to the signaling device to the activator of Giannini as taught by Sato for the purpose of protecting the activator.

Regarding claim 7, Giannini discloses a method for permitting a person to activate a portable removable signaling device (headphone, 34, 36, 38, 40, col. 4, lines 36-43) conveniently, the method comprising the steps of coupling a pressure-sensitive activator switch 16-26 (abstract, col. 3, lines 17-48) to the removable signaling device, mounting the removable signaling device and the switch to a wearable garment, dressing the person in the wearable garment, and positioning the switch on the garment within easy reach of the person wearing the garment (see figure 1). Giannini does not disclose connection means extending from the switch through a material fabric of the wearable garment to the signaling device. Sato teaches the use of connection means extending from a switch through a material fabric of a wearable garment to a signaling device (column 2, lines 35-66). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include connection means extending from the switch through a material fabric of the wearable garment to the signaling device to the method of Giannini as taught by Sato for the purpose of protecting the device.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Giannini discloses the body structure of the garment including at least a portion formed of two layers of material (column 1, lines 5-13, fig. 4-6).

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 3, Giannini discloses the activator switch being enclosed between the two layers (see fig. 5-6).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Giannini discloses the two layers of material defining a moisture-resistant enclosure for the activator switch (col. 4, lines 52-67).

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Giannini discloses indicia on the outer surface of the garment (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Giannini discloses receptacles on the outer surface of the garment configured for engaging and supporting units ancillary to transmitting electronic signals (see fig. 1).

## **Answers to Remarks**

4. Applicant's arguments filed on May 24, 2004 have been fully considered. In response to applicant's argument that Giannini does not teach a removable signaling device. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Giannini does teach a removable signaling device (headphone with a detachable plug to 34, 36, 38, 40) in column 4, lines 36-43.

In response to applicant's argument that Sato can not be properly combined with Giannini, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any

Art Unit: 2636

one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh V La whose telephone number is (703) 305-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (703) 305-4717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANH V. LA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anh V La Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636

Al August 4, 2004